1878 - 07 Southampton County - Chancery Bradshaw, Hawkins, vs. Prisson, James H.

"To sell property in
settle Judgment

Hawkins Bradshaw
Sue for Longst. of
W W. Briggs Rep. of
Mordecai Bradshaw
dec'd

53

Bradshaw for &c

v } Papers

Preston

To be destroyed

1878 May Removed from the Docket

I Executed by delivering a copy to Mrs Parsons the wife of the said James Parsons, she being over sixteen years of age & explaining to her the contents of the writ.

Jany 14th 1874

Parker Mitchell
Deputy Sheriff

Brad[illegible]ason
vs
Sum. Ohy
Parsons
1874 February Rules

The Commonwealth of Virginia

To the Sheriff of ~~Southampton~~ Nansemond County--Greeting:

WE COMMAND YOU to summon James Prefson

if he be found within your bailiwick, to appear at the Clerk's Office of our Circuit Court of Southampton county, at the Rules to be holden for the said Court on the first Monday in February next to answer a bill of Chancery exhibited against him in our said Court by Hawkins Bradshaw who sues for the benefit of W. W. Briggs Representative of Mordecai Bradshaw

And have then there this writ. Witness LITTLETON R. EDWARDS, Clerk of our said Court, at the Court-house, this 8 day of January A. D. 1874, in the 98 year of the Commonwealth.

L R Edwards Cl

Sun Job Print, Suffolk, Va.

Bradshawfort.

vs $\frac{3}{3}$ Bill & Exhibits

Presson

1874 Febry Rules

J. W. Prince Jr.

To the Hon Geo: Blow, Judge of the Circuit Court of Southampton. In Chancery

Humbly complaining shewith unto your Honor, your complainant Hawkins Bradham who sues for the benefit of himself & Mordecai Bradham deceased that at the March Term of the County Court of Nansemond County, he obtained a judgment against James H. Presson for the sum of one hundred & ten dollars with interest thereon from Feby 20. 1867 & costs $7.60 - which said judgment has been regularly docketed in the County Court of Southampton - as will more fully appear from a copy from the judgment docket, herewith filed marked A, & prayed to be taken as part of this bill - That the said Jas H Presson was at the time of the rendition of said judgment seized of considerable real Estate, situate in the County of Southampton. That the rents & profits of said real Estate will not in five years, satisfy your complainants demand - That said Presson has no chattel property subject to levy. In consideration of all which, and for as much as your complainant is without remedy except in a Court of Equity, where matters

Ex-(A)

of this kind are peculiarly cognizable, to the end therefore that Justice may be done, he prays that James H. Presson may be made party defendant to this bill & compelled to answer the allegations thereof. That an order may be entered directing a Commissioner of this Court to take all necessary & proper accounts, and if it should be ascertained that the rents & profits of the real estate of said Presson will not pay your complainants demand in five years, that the same may be sold. And that your Honor will grant such other, further, and general relief in the premises as Equity may dictate and the nature of his case require. And as in duty bound, your Complainant will ever pray &c. May it please the Court &c.

J. B. Prince f.c.

Bradshaw for Jy
To } Stat: Rules

Preston

paid Clk S R & J 6.13
Stff 50
Com. Tyler 5.00
11.63
Saw & tax pd J B Prince 16.00

In Southampton Circuit Court

Hawkins Bradshaw who sues for the benefit of W. W. Briggs representative of Mordecai Bradshaw decd

against

James H. Person

1874 January 8th Summons issued

" Feby. 16. Summons ret. Executed. Bill filed. D. Nisi v Dft.

" Mar. " Taken last Monday in Feby, Bill taken for confessed & cause set for hearing 4th

" " Decree for account 4th

Bradshaw

v. } Copy decree

Prefson

Southampton Circuit Court February Term 1874

Hawkins Bradshaw who sues for the benefit of W. W. Briggs representative of Mordecai Bradshaw dec.

Pltff.

against

James H. Pressen

Deft.

} In Chancery

This day this cause came on to be heard on the Complainant's bill that has been taken for confessed as to the Defendant James Pressen, and was argued by Counsel; on Consideration whereof the Court doth adjudge order and decree that a Commissioner of this Court take the following accounts, Viz: An account of the Plaintiffs demand and all other unsettled judgment liens against the Defendant distinguishing their priority. An account of the absolute and annual value of the real estate of which James Pressen is seized, which accounts said Commissioner will audit and report to Court together with any other matter specially stated deemed pertinent by himself, or which may be required to be so stated by any of the parties interest.

A Copy

Teste S. R. Edwards C.C.

Bradshaw for Pl

v. Com. Tylers Repl.

Prefom

1874 May 6

An account of all Judgment liens against James H. Prepson docketed in the Clerks Office of Southampton County as follows

County Court of Nansemond March 14th 1873.

Hawkins Bradshaw. who sues for the benefit of N. W. Briggs representative of Mordecai Bradshaw
vs
James H. Prepson. Judgment for 110 00
Int from 20th July 1867. to 1st May 1874 47 50
Costs 7 60
Docketed April 12/73 $ 165 10

Respectly Submitted
James R. Tyler
Com:

An account of the absolute and annual value of the real Estate of which James H. Prepson is seized

	Absolute Value	annual Value
one tract of 19 acres of Land on Seacock Swamp	$ 114 00	$ 10 00
" " " 45 acres " "	99 00	10 00
" " " 57½ " " do	356 00	50 00
	$ 569 00	$ 70 00

Respectfy Submitted
James R. Tyler
Com:

Commissioners Office
Jerusalem April 13 1874

To The Circuit Court of Southampton County.

Pursuant to a decree of the circuit court of Southampton County rendered at the February Term 1874 in a suit in chancery depending in said court between. Hawkins Bradshaw. who sues for the benefit of W. W. Briggs representative of Mordecai Bradshaw. dec'd. and James R. Prefon; the undersigned one of the commissioners of the said court to whom was referred for settlement. the following accounts to wit:
An account of the Plaintiffs demand and all other unsettled judgments liens against the Defendants distinguishing their priorities
An account of the absolute and annual value of the real estate of which James Prefon is seized. which accounts said Commissioner will audit and report to Court &c &c. reports to the court that on the day of last he issued notice against the parties aforesaid. requiring them to attend the settlement of the said accounts before him at his said Office on the day of ~~which were returned to your commissioner duly executed~~ That the party (Plaintiff) aforesaid appeared (by atty) before the commissioner in obidience to said notice. and he thereupon examined stated and settled the foregoing account up to the 1st day of May and on that day finds the Judgment liens with interest & costs amount to $165.00 and the absolute value of the real Est. to be $569.00 the annual value to be $80.00 $70.00 The Commissioner has nothing, specially to report. The commissioner further reports to the court. that after the completion of the said accounts he retained the same in his office ten days for the examination of the parties. which with the decree ~~and notice on~~ is herewith returned. Given under my hand as commissioner aforesaid the day and year first aforesaid

James R Tyler
Com.

Commis: fee $5.00

Rodkinson

vs } Decree

Presson

Feby Term 1874

To be entered.

Geo Blow

Bradshaw for Pl.
vs Decree.
Presson.

This day this cause came on to be heard on the ~~papers~~ complainants bill, that has been taken for confessed as to the defendant James Presson, and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, the Court doth adjudge order & decree that a Commissioner of this Court take the following accounts - viz - an account of the plaintiffs demand and all other unsettled judgment liens against the defendant, distinguishing their priority. An account of the absolute and annual value of the real estate of which James Presson is seized - which accounts said Commiss. will audit & report to Court, together with any other matter specially stated deemed pertinent by himself, or which may be required to be so stated by any of the parties interested.

Bradhaugh &c.
vs
3 Decree
Pressm

May Term
1877

Brodhead for Pl.
vs } Decree.
Preston

On motion of the plaintiff, this cause is ordered to be removed from the docket.